1 Introduction

1.1 When a company goes into administration the costs of the proceedings are paid out of the company’s assets in priority to creditors’ claims. The creditors, who hope eventually to recover some of their debts out of the assets, therefore have a direct interest in the level of costs, and in particular the remuneration of the insolvency practitioner appointed to act as administrator. The insolvency legislation recognises this interest by providing mechanisms for creditors to determine the basis of the administrator’s remuneration. This guide is intended to help creditors be aware of their rights under the legislation to approve and monitor remuneration and outlays and explain the basis on which remuneration and outlays are fixed.

2 The nature of administration

2.1 Administration is a procedure which places a company under the control of an insolvency practitioner and the protection of the court with the objective of:

(a) rescuing the company as a going concern, or
(b) achieving a better result for the company’s creditors as a whole than would be likely if the company were wound up (without first being in administration), or
(c) realising property in order to make a distribution to one or more secured or preferential creditors

Administration may be followed by a company voluntary arrangement or liquidation.

3 The creditors’ committee

3.1 Where a meeting is held by the Administrator the creditors have the right to appoint a committee with a minimum of 3 and a maximum of 5 members. One of the functions of the committee is to determine the basis of the administrator’s remuneration. The committee is established at the meeting of creditors which the administrator is required to hold within 10 weeks of the administration order (or longer with the consent of the court) to consider his proposals. The administrator must call the first meeting of the committee within 3 months of its establishment, and subsequent meetings must be held either at specified dates agreed by the committee, or when a member of the committee asks for one, or when the administrator decides he needs to hold one. The committee has power to summon the administrator to attend before it and provide such information as it may require.

4 Fixing the administrator’s fees

4.1 The basis for fixing the administrator’s remuneration is set out in Rule 2.39 of the Insolvency (Scotland) Rules 1986 which states that it may be a commission calculated by reference to the value of the company’s property with which he has to deal.

It is for the creditors’ committee (if there is one) to fix the remuneration and Rule 2.39 says that in arriving at its decision the committee shall take into
account:
- the work which, having regard to the value of the company’s property, was reasonably undertaken by the administrator; and
- the extent of his responsibilities in administering the company’s assets.

Although not specifically stated in the rules, the normal basis for determining the remuneration will be that of the time costs properly incurred by the administrator and his staff.

4.2 If there is no creditors’ committee, or the committee does not make the requisite determination, the administrator’s remuneration will be fixed by the creditors.

4.3 Where no meeting is held, the administrator’s remuneration is approved by each secured creditor of the company or where a distribution to the preferential creditors is proposed by each secured creditor and 50% in value of the preferential creditors disregarding those who do not respond or withhold approval.

5 What information should be provided by the administrator?

5.1 Claims by the administrator for the outlays reasonably incurred by him and for his remuneration shall be made in accordance with Rule 2.39 of the Insolvency (Scotland) Rules 1986 which provides that within two weeks after the end of an accounting period, the administrator shall submit to the creditors’ committee or if there is no creditors’ committee, to a meeting of creditors.

- his accounts of intromissions for audit;
- a claim for the outlays reasonably incurred by him and for his remuneration, broken down into category 1 disbursements, being those costs where there is specific expenditure relating to the administration of the insolvent’s affairs and referable to payment to an independent third party, and category 2 disbursements, which are costs which include elements of shared or allocated costs, and are supplied internally by the administrator’s own firm and

5.2 The administrator may at any time before the end of an accounting period submit to the creditors’ committee or a meeting of creditors an interim claim for category 1 and 2 disbursements reasonably incurred by him and for his remuneration.

5.3 When seeking agreement to his fees and disbursements, the administrator should provide sufficient supporting information to enable the committee or the creditors to form a judgement as to whether the proposed fee and disbursements are reasonable having regard to all circumstances of the case. The nature and extent of the supporting information which should be provided will depend on:

- the nature of the approval being sought;
- the stage during the administration of the case at which it is being sought; and
- the size and complexity of the case.

5.4 Where, at any creditors’ committee meeting or meeting of creditors, the administrator seeks agreement to the terms on which he is to be remunerated, he should provide the meeting with details of the charge-out rates of all grades of staff, including principals, which are likely to be involved on the case.
5.5 Where the administrator seeks agreement to his remuneration during the course of the administration, he should always provide an up to date receipts and payments account. Where the proposed remuneration is based on time costs the administrator should disclose to the committee or the creditors the time spent and the charge-out value in the particular case, together with, where appropriate, such additional information as may reasonably be required having regard to the size and complexity of the case. The additional information should comprise a sufficient explanation of what the administrator has achieved and how it was achieved to enable the value of the exercise to be assessed (whilst recognising that the administrator must fulfil certain statutory obligations that might be seen to bring no added value for creditors) and to establish that the time has been properly spent on the case. That assessment will need to be made having regard to the time spent and the rates at which that time was charged, bearing in mind the factors set out in paragraph 4.1 above. To enable this assessment to be carried out it may be necessary for the administrator to provide an analysis of the time spent on the case by type of activity and grade of staff. The degree of detail will depend on the circumstances of the case, but it will be helpful to be aware of the professional guidance which has been given to insolvency practitioners on this subject. The guidance suggests the following areas of activity as a basis for the analysis of time spent:

- Administration and planning
- Investigations
- Realisation of assets
- Trading
- Creditors
- Any other case specific matters

The following categories are suggested as a basis for analysis by grade of staff:

- Partner
- Manager
- Other senior professionals
- Assistants and support staff

The explanation of what has been done can be expected to include an outline of the nature of the assignment and the administrator’s own initial assessment, including the anticipated return to creditors. To the extent applicable it should also explain:

- Any significant aspects of the case, particularly those that affect the amount of time spent.
- The reasons for subsequent changes in strategy.
- Any comments on any figures in the summary of time spent accompanying the request the administrator wishes to make.
- The steps taken to establish the views of creditors, particularly in relation to agreeing the strategy for the assignment, budgeting, time recording, or the drawing, or agreement of remuneration.
- Any existing agreement about remuneration.
- In cases where there are distributable funds available to unsecured creditors by means of the creditors’ prescribed part, how the administrator has allocated remuneration and costs with regard to dealing with the
administration of and agreeing of unsecured creditors’ claims. Remuneration in respect of time spent dealing with issues specific to the funds for ordinary creditors will be applied against the creditors prescribed part, prior to the funds being distributed, and will not be applied against the total funds available to all creditors, including those available to the floating charge holder.

- Details of how other professionals, including subcontractors, were chosen, how they were contracted to be paid, and what steps have been taken to review their fees.

It should be borne in mind that the degree of analysis and form of presentation should be proportionate to the size and complexity of the case. In smaller cases not all categories of activity will be relevant, whilst further analysis may be necessary in larger cases.

5.6 Where the remuneration is charged as a commission based on the value of the company’s property with which the administrator has had to deal, the administrator should provide details of any work which has been or is intended to be contracted out which would normally be undertaken directly by the administrator or his staff.

5.7 As noted in 5.1, any claim for outlays must be approved in the same way as remuneration. Professional guidance issued to Insolvency Practitioners requires that where the administrator proposes to recover costs which, whilst being in the nature of expenses or disbursements may include an element of shared or allocated costs (such as room hire, document storage or communication facilities) they must be approved as if they were remuneration. Such disbursements must be directly incurred on the case and subject to a reasonable method of calculation and allocation. A charge for disbursements calculated as a percentage of the amount charged for remuneration is not allowed.

5.8 Payments to outside parties in which the office holder or his firm or any associate has an interest should be disclosed to the body approving remuneration and should be treated in the same way as payments to himself. They therefore require specific approval as remuneration prior to being paid.

6 What if a creditor is dissatisfied?

6.1 If the administrator’s remuneration has been fixed by the creditors' committee or by the creditors, by virtue of Rule 2.39A of the Insolvency (Scotland) Rules 1986, any creditor or creditors of the company representing in value at least 25 percent of the creditors may apply to the court not later than eight weeks after the end of an accounting period for an order that the administrator’s remuneration be reduced, on the grounds that it is, in all the circumstances excessive.

6.2 Notwithstanding the fact that the statutory time limit for appealing expires eight weeks from the end of the accounting period concerned, it is normal practice to advise the creditors that they may appeal within 14 days of being notified of the determination in cases where this extends beyond the statutory appeal period.

7 What if the administrator is dissatisfied?

7.1 If the administrator considers that the remuneration fixed by the creditors’ committee or by resolution of the creditors is insufficient he may apply to the court for an order increasing its amount or rate. If he decides to apply to the court he must give at least 14 days’ notice to the members of the creditors’
committee and the committee may nominate one or more of its members to appear or be represented on the application. If there is no committee, the administrator’s notice of his application must be sent to such of the company’s creditors as the court may direct, and they may nominate one or more of their number to appear or be represented. The court may order the costs to be paid as an expense of the administration.

**8 Other matters relating to fees**

8.1 Where there are joint administrators it is for them to agree between themselves how remuneration payable should be apportioned. Any dispute arising between them may be referred to the court, the creditors’ committee or a meeting of creditors.