

Tutor Conference February 2019 Corporate Reporting - Candidate A

1	Q1									
2	1)									
3	Project Sound									
	<p>Research and development expenses on project sound need to capitalised as an intangible asset from the date that the speaker produced by KJL became commercial viable. According to IAS 18 - Intangible Assets, research and development for a potential product is an internally generated intangible asset and the majority of the cost relating to Project Sound must be capitalised from the date the commercial viability of the speakers has been established. For commercial viability to be established, the following requirements need to be met:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Probable future economic benefits 2. Intention to complete and sell asset 3. Resources are adequate and available to complete and sell the asset and there is ability to sell the asset 4. Expenditure can be measured reliably <p>From the provided information we can see that on 1 April L-Motors placed a large order for the speaker. This confirms the commercial viability of the developed product the above requirements are met as probable future economic benefits will be sold. Therefore, any costs incurred in the development process following the 1 April must be capitalised under intangible assets.</p> <p>This includes the following:</p>									
5										
6	Salary costs	790								
7	Registration fees	910								
8	Car used for testing	555								
9		2255								

Allocated general overheads should not be capitalised as intangible assets. Furthermore, the intangible assets should be amortised from the date that it is available for sale, over its useful economic life. The date the equipment is available for sale has not been provided, therefore we would need more information to calculate the depreciation charge.

Furthermore, under IAS 16 PPE the new computer equipment should be capitalised from the date the asset was available for use and brought to its current location and condition, therefore the first of January 2018. Although the computer equipment was used for the project, it should be classified under PPE.

Therefore, the costs for the computer should be reclassified from expenses to PPE and depreciated from the 1 January with the following depreciation charged for the year:

$$1700/2 \times (9/12) = 637,5k$$

has most of the technical points and is applying to the scenario

Weaknesses in the audit procedures:

1. The component auditors have failed to identify that development costs should have been capitalised and therefore the audit testing carried out is insufficient.
2. Auditors have not carried out any detailed audit procedures because each balance was below component materiality. This is a weakness as although each cost is below component materiality, the total costs relating to the project are material and therefore a material adjustment might have been necessary.
3. The accuracy of the balances have not been confirmed and agreed to any supporting documentation and have been taken at face value.

It was rare to see candidates tackling the parent - component auditor relationship

Has the materiality point

Additional audit procedures:

1. Welzun must instruct DB on the additional procedures they would like them to carry out on the balances relating to the new audit speakers which include the below:

1. Review correspondence with the client who made the order to confirm the date that commercial viability was established
2. Obtain all supporting documentation (invoices and payroll reports and employee contracts) and agree the costs to the information provided. Review the purchase ledger in order to ensure that the balances are complete and there are no further costs relating to the product
3. Review post year end information, to understand when the development of the speakers was complete and when the order were fulfilled. This will confirm whether any amortisation charge would need to be charged in the year
4. Obtain correspondence with the lawyers on the registration for the design, to confirm that it was registered and thus future economic benefits can flow to the entity
5. Calculate the potential tax effects of the CEO's personal use of the car and ascertain the need for a provision in the financial statements. Discuss this with the client and understand whether they are trying to avoid the payment of tax.

Review used here with justification

17 2. Project entertain

Under IAS 38 - Intangible assets, the company can capitalise costs if it is probable that future economic benefits attributable to the asset will flow to the entity and the cost can be measured reliably.

18 In this case, although it is considered that the event will generate sales for the company and although the costs can be measured reliably, the cost of 2.8 million \$ relates to marketing and advertising, as the fees were paid to a public relations company and the general event is a marketing/networking event and therefore it is appropriate for all costs to be capitalised as it does not meet the definition of an intangible asset.

Therefore, the financial reporting treatment is correct.

As with candidate B - has the right FR treatment but does not hit the point about classification of the cost

Audit Weaknesses:

Although the fees have been agreed to invoices from GetGo and to bank statements, the main audit risk is that the expenses are understated in order to improve profitability and therefore the completeness of the balance must be tested.

19 There is a key weakness here in the inability for the component auditors to obtain third party information such as a supplier statement as obtaining third party information is key for confirming completeness.

There is a further key weakness in that the component auditors have not contacted GetGo directly, but are instead depending on KJL to obtain the reports

Further audit procedures:

- 20
1. Review post year end bank statements and post year end invoices to ensure that there are no omitted costs in relation to the events carried out in the year ending 30 September 2008
 2. Contact GetGo and obtain a supplier statement for the services provided to JKL in order to confirm that the balance included in the statement of profit and loss is complete and accurate.

21

22 Income tax receivable

Under IAS 21 Income Taxes, any unpaid tax or tax due from the authorities needs to be calculated by the company and recognised in the statement of financial position as an asset or a liability, depending on the position of the company.

23 In this case, the company is due a tax credit from the authorities based on the R&D expenditure included in the statement of profit or loss. However, as it has been mentioned above a number of balances have been capitalised in the financial statements therefore only the below balances have been included in the statement of profit or loss:

24

25	Materials	1725								
26	Salary costs before 1 April	1270								
27	Overheads	950								
28		3945								
29	GetGo fees	2800								
30		6745								
31	Tax credit	5059								
32										
33	Therefore, based on the formula provided the tax credit needs to be 5,059 and a journal must be posted to reduce the tax refund recognised by 2,966.									
34	<p>Key Weaknesses in audit procedures:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The component auditors have not carried out any testing and have relied on the tax department of Welzun which is a key weakness as the information should have been agreed to other sources and the work carried out by the tax department should have been reviewed with professional scepticism applied and should not have been relied upon. 2. The component auditors has not considered the independence, competences and objectivity of the Welzun tax department 									
35	<p>Additional audit procedures:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensure that the formula provided for calculating the refund is in line with the current tax legislation 2. Ensure that the rate of 30% is in line with tax laws and it is the enacted rate based on current law 3. Ensure that the reduction in the tax credit is appropriately reflected in Welzun's financial statements. 									
36										
37										

Nice layout with space for marks

Loan to KJL

The loan made from Zmart to KJL is denominated in the currency of KJL. Under IAS 21, the initial recognition of the loan in Zmart's financial statements should be to recognise a receivable of 21million using the spot rate on the date of the transaction i.e. on the 1 January 2018. On this date, the rate was 1=6 and therefore the £ equivalent of the loan was $21,000,000/6 = 3,500,000$ therefore the loan has been correctly recognised initially.

According to the standard, any monetary balances should be translated at the year end using the closing rate i.e. the rate of the 30 September of 4.8. Any differences should subsequently be included in the statmetn of profit or loss of the Zmart, as the loan is denominated in KJL's currency.

Receivable at YE	4375	21m/4.8							
Current baalnce	3500								
	875								

As the pound has weakened against the OS\$, there is a gain on the receivable of 875.000 which should be included in Zmart's individual financial statements as a credit in the profit or loss. This should improve profitability of the company.

Also, as there is no tax payable on exchange differences now, but only once the loan is repaid, this should also create a deferred tax liability as tax will be paid in the future in relation to this transaction. Therefore, a deferred tax liability of $875,000 * 0.2 = 175,000$ should be recognised in relation to this exchange gain by:

Dr - Income Tax (P&L) - 175,000
 Cr - Deferred tax liability - 175,000

great answer

On consolidation, the loan to Zmart needs to be eliminated as it represents an intercompany loan. Instead, the loan will be recognised as a net investment and any gain or losses from the individual financial statements of Smart will also be eliminated on consolidation and will be recognised in equity and Other Comprehensive Income. Therefore, OCI for the year ended 30 September for the financial statements should include the gain of 875,000.

Inventory

Individual financial statements of Zmant

The effect of this transaction in Zmant's individual financial statements is that a related party transaction disclosure needs to be included. This is because under IAS 24, as Zmant has control of KJL from the 1 January 2018 and the two companies are members of the same group this means that the two companies are related parties. Therefore, a related party disclosure should be included stating the nature of this relationship, the amount of the purchases and also the amount included in inventories at the year end.

Consolidated financial statements

Under IFRS 10 - Consolidated financial statements, the first step would be to eliminate the intercompany sales and purchases between Zmant and KJL for the period between 1 January 2018 and the year end.

Then, an additional adjustment would be required for the amount of 2.5 million remaining in inventory. First, as correctly identified, the profit made on these sales which remain in inventory should be calculated. As KJL sells goods on a mark up of 35% then the profit will be calculated by multiplying the sales value by 35/135, therefore the calculation presented is incorrect as it uses total sales and not the balance remaining in inventory and the wrong percentage.

The correct figure for the profit made on these sales is $35/135 * 2,500,000 = 648,148$.

Assuming that all the goods that remain in inventory were purchased after the 1 January 2018, as the company is likely to use a FIFO policy for inventory, then an adjustment for the total unrealised profit calculated above is required. As the goods were sold from the subsidiary to the parent, the adjustment would be to Dr Cost of sales and Retained earnings and Credit inventory by 648,148.

Any exchange gains/losses included in the P&I arising from these transactions do not cancel out, and thus should remain in the consolidated statement of profit or loss and be carried to consolidation.

7 3)

8 Under IAS 21, when consolidated financial statements include a foreign subsidiary, goodwill should be calculated in the foreign currency and retranslated at the year end, with any foreign exchange gains or losses on remeasurement included in the Statement of Comprehensive Income.

	O\$	Rate	£	
9				
10	Consideration	52800		
11	NCI at acquisition	29500		40* Fair value of net assets
12	Less: Net assets	-73750		W1
13	Goodwill on acqn	8550	6	1425 historic rate
14	Impairments	0	0	0
15	Exchange gain			356
16	Goodwill at year end	8550	4.80	1781
17				
18	W1) Net assets on 1 January			
19				
20	Share capital	25000		
21	RE 1 October	45000		
22	Pre acquisition profits	3750	3/12*15000	
23		73750		
24				

Nice layout - easy to mark!

Assuming the net proportion method is used to value NCI, then goodwill to be recognised in the consolidated financial statements is £1,781,000. A foreign exchange gain arises on remeasurement of goodwill of 356,000. This should be included in the Consolidated Statement of P&L and Other Comprehensive Income as a gain on translating goodwill.

4)

It is first necessary to establish the facts of this article. The accusations on the article are serious and relate to a government official who is the husband of the KJL board member and has attended a 5 day event at a luxury hotel using the company's funds and also includes accusations of potential money laundering. In order to establish the facts it is first necessary to establish the relationship between the official and the KJL board member and confirm whether the official has attended the event and the way expenses were paid for this event.

With regards to the financial statements, the tax refunds obtained in the past should be reviewed to understand whether expenses were incorrectly included in the statement of profit or loss.

In this case, the following ethical issues arise from the article:

1. Company's funds are being used for activities which are not incurred in the ordinary course of business. This presents a conflict of interest and self interest threat, where the KJL board member is not acting in the best interest of stakeholders but instead she is acting in her own self interest and is misusing company funds.
2. The misuse of company funds could also indicate fraudulent activity
3. There is also an ethical issue concerning money laundering. There are accusations that the company has manipulated financial statements to obtain a tax advantage and therefore the profits arising from this tax advantage could be considered as proceeds of crime, if it is confirmed that the intention of KJL was to obtain a tax benefit.

DB, as the group auditor, has a responsibility to report on the group accounts which include all subsidiaries and therefore should investigate the above allegation. It is preferable for DB to investigate the matters on its own rather than using the help of the component auditor.

The allegations also raise concerns regarding the group auditors and their inability to discover any of this in previous audits. As a result, less reliance should be placed on their work and DB might need to carry out further audit procedures on their own.

Janet as a manager of the audit should take the following actions:

1. Discuss the accusations and findings of her investigation with the MLRO of DB and understand whether
2. Obtain legal advice on whether the KJL director and her husband have committed fraud
3. Contact the ICAEW helpline and discuss the matter, documenting any discussions

DB, as group auditors, should also discuss the matter with the Zmant audit committee, being careful to avoid tipping off the committee when it comes to discussions on the tax issue.

Good answers - What about Janet?

Question 2

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	Q2)										
2	1)										
3	Convertible bond instrument										
4	Under IAS 32 - Financial Instruments, convertible bonds should be treated using split accounting, with a portion of the bond being recognised in equity and a portion being recognised as a financial liability, at amortised cost. The portion recognised as a liability is equal to the present value of future interest and capital payments, using the market rate for similar debt without conversion rights which is 6.5%. This is calculated as follows:										
5											
6	Time	Cash flow	DF @ 6.5%	PV							
7	t1-t7	500	5.485	2743							
8	t8	10500	0.604	6342							
9			Debt	9085							
10			Equity	916							
11				10000							
12											
13	<p>It seems that debt and equity have been correctly recognised using split accounting. The equity component is included in Other components of equity as 913 (rounding differences with above calculations) and the liability component has been included in long term liabilities. It seems that the liability component has also been correctly recognised at amortised cost however no adjustment has been made for the convertible bond for the year ending 31 October 2018 other than including the actual interest paid within finance costs.</p> <p>As the liability is measured at amortised cost, any interest actually paid i.e. 500,000 per annum should not be included within finance costs but instead should be Debited to the financial liability. Instead, finance costs should include the effective interest which is 6.5% based on the market interest on similar debt instruments with no conversion rights:</p>										

15	B/F	Effective int.	Actual paid							
16		9603	624	-500	9727					
17										

Therefore, the following adjustment should be included to correct the financial statements for the year ended 31 October 2018:

18										
19	Cr - Finance costs		-500							
20	Dr - Finance costs		624							
21	Cr - Financial liability		-124							
22										

Uses plenty of space and a column approach - helpful for markers

Identifies that this is not the first year of the bond!

23 Available for sale asset.

As the investment is an available for sale financial asset, then according to IAS 39 - Recognition and Measurement, then the investment in Spence should be remeasured to its fair value. Its fair value at the year end is :

$$100,000 * 18.50 = 1,850,000$$

24 .
Currently, the investment is being held at 1,503,000 in the statement of financial position, as revaluations of 503,000 have been recorded in the past. This value should be increased to 1,850,000 and the increase of 347,000 should be included in the AFS Reserve.

25	Dr - AFS Investment	347000							
26	Cr - AFS Reserve	-347000							
27									
28	Tax								
	<p>Under IAS 22 - Income taxes, a company can recognise a deferred tax asset in respect of tax losses to carry forward as these will create a tax advantage in the future. An unrelied tax loss gives rise to a deferred tax asset however only where there are expected to be sufficient future taxable profits to utilise the loss.</p> <p>Currently, there is no indication of Rhydding's future profitability although the extent of the current year losses suggests that future profits may not be available. If this is the case, then no deferred tax can be recognised.</p> <p>This is therefore an area of judgement. Assuming the loss is a one off this year and there is potential for the company to be profitable in the future, then the deferred tax asset may be recognised at 19% of this loss.</p> <p>Taking into consideration the above adjustments, losses before tax will be $938+124 = 1,062$. Assuming this is equivalent to a tax loss then a deferred tax asset of $1,062 * 0.19 = 202$ can be recognised in the financial statements. Therefore, the following adjustment is required:</p>								
30	Dr - Tax asset	24	202-178						
31	Cr - Tax for year	-24							
32									
33	2)								
34	Draft statement of profit or loss and other comprehensive income for the year ended 31 October 2018								

		2018				
Revenue		30600				
Cost of sales		-22803				
GP		7797				
Operating costs		-8235				
Finance costs	see above	-624				
Loss before tax		-1062				
Tax	178+24	202				
Loss for the year		-860				
OCI	see above	347				
Total OCI		-513				

Statement of financial position as at 31 October 2018

		2018		
NCA				
PPE		53675		
Financial asset		1850		
		<u>55525</u>		
CA				
Inventories		2770		
Receivables		7710		
Deferred tax asset		202		
Cash		0		
		<u>10682</u>		
Total Assets		66207		

No workings shown here
- but does have journals
clearly set out above

Equity				
Share capita		10000		
Other compennts		913		
AFS reserve		850		
Retained earnings	37294- 124+24+347	37541		
		<u>49304</u>		
LT Liabilities		9727		
Current liabilities		6304		
Trade payables		0		
Bank overdraft		1219		
		<u>7523</u>		
Total eequity and		66554		

4	EPS calculations									
5	Loss after tax	-860								
6										
7	Weighted average number of shares	10000								
8										
9	EPS	-0.086								
0										

1 After taking into consideration the above changes the company is loss making, and therefore basic earnings per share are negative at -8.6p per share

2 A diluted earnings per share figure can also be calculated taking into account the worse case scenario in respect to the potential increase in the equity base of the company by assuming that the potential convertible bond could increase share capital by 1million new shares, but the interest saved by conversion is added back to the loss. This interest is tax deductible therefore the interest to be added back is net of tax.

3										
4	Loss after tax	-860								
5	Add back: interest	624								
6		-236								

96		-236							
97									
98									
99	Shares in issue	10000							
100	Convertible bond	1000							
101	Total	11000							
102									
103	Diluted EPS	-0.021							
104									
105	Diluted EPS are -2.1p for every share.								
106									
107	4)								
108	<p>A company's legally distributable reserves include the reserves which are available for dividend payments to the shareholders. In the case of Chelle, retained earnings at the year end are 37,541k however these include Other Comprehensive Income relating to the revaluation of the investment in Spencer. .</p> <p>This gain has not yet been realised by the company and therefore it should not be included in legally distributable reserves for payments to shareholders. Therefore, legally distributable reserves are $37,541 - 347 = 37,194$</p>								

110	3)									
111	Key ratios:									
112	GPM	0.25	0.28	0.29						
113	OPM	-0.03	0.01	0.07						
114	EPS	-8.60	1.90	21.20						
115										
116	ROCE	-0.016	0.004	0.041						
117	ROE	-0.018	0.004	0.043						
118										

There are no workings shown here however markers are instructed not to award marks purely for calculations - they have to be used in a meaningful context.

integrates ratios with text

119 Report to the board analysing the key elements

Looking at the results of Chelle for the year ended 31 October 2018, the financial performance of the company has deteriorated due to a combination of a fall in revenues and a deterioration of the gross profit margin and also a deterioration of the total profit margin. The gross profit margin has fallen from 29% in 2016 to 25% in 2018 and revenues have also decreased by 14% from the year ending 2016. The decrease in revenues therefore coupled with a deteriorating margin has led to lower gross profits which have set the way to an operating loss, as the operating and finance costs have not decreased in line with the fall in gross profits meaning that the company has recorded a loss in the year.

We do not have the sufficient information, but from the information you have provided it seems that the deteriorating financial performance has been due to increasing competition and higher costs due to the deterioration of the pound. The deteriorating performance of the company has led it to be loss making in 2018 which means that return to shareholders and return on capital employed, as well as earnings per share are negative. Looking at ROE and ROCE in 2016 and 2017, there were significant decreases. ROCE and ROE both decreased from 4% to 0.4% in that period, indicating the deteriorating performance of the company. In addition to that, the earnings per share decreased by a significant amount amount 91%.

The above factors have led to a fall in the share price of Chelle, which has almost halved in value since 2016 falling from 1711p to 980p. The fall in the share price reflects the deteriorating performance of the company.

Uses the scenario in a relevant way

Uses other information than the financial statements

considers a trend
of results

Cash flow has also been negatively affected. The company had a healthy cash position of 2,273 in 2016 however by 2016 this position had fallen to 525, representing a fall of 77%. The deterioration was due to increase operating profits, as well as due to a fall in receivables which was less than the fall in sales and an decrease in payables which was less than the decrease in cost of sales, suggesting a longer operating cycle for the company. By the end of 2018, the decreased profitability of the company and further deterioration of the cash operating cycle mean that the company has had to rely on an overdraft and has no cash reserves in the bank.

Looking at the future of the company, the most important factor which will determine the going concern status of the company is whether the bond holders will exercise their right to convert the bond into shares or whether the company will be required to repay 10 million. If the profitability of the company does not improve significantly, in 2 years time when the convertible loan expires the company will have no cash to repay the 10million. Therefore, unless the bond holders convert their rights into shares the company might not be a going concern a year from now. This all depends on the share price in the future.

Identifies the key point here

Question 3

1	Q3										
2	1)										
3	Key audit matters identified by Fenn										
4	Revenue Recognition										
5	<p>Revenue recognition was identified as a key audit matter by Fenn Yo LLP due to the complexity of the revenue received by Solvit which relates to component sales which might be sold together in a bundle.</p> <p>There is therefore an audit risk of incorrect revenue recognition and cut-off in relation to the services provided in a bundle and therefore this increases the risk of material misstatement of revenue in the financial statement. This continues to remain a relevant risk, as Solvit continues to enter into contracts with customers which include the sale of the software and services and maintenance costs. The company therefore continues to enter into these contracts which means that the transactions are more complex and thus there is a higher risk of misstatement.</p> <p>The transactions also include judgement relating to the stage of completion of services such as maintenance and integration services and therefore there is greater scope for manipulation and inherently a greater risk of misstatement in the financial statements.</p> <p>The risk is even higher in the year ending 31 October 2018 due to the change in the standard. The new standard was applied from the start of the year and therefore there is a greater possibility that the revenue figure is not calculated in accordance to the new standard.</p>										
6											
7	Provision for Onerous leases										
	<p>Another key audit matter identified by Fenn Yo was the provision for the onerous leases. This was identified as a key audit matter due to the magnitude of the judgements applied when calculating this assumption and due to the fact that there is reliance on third party external advice for the matter.</p> <p>The provision for onerous leases still continues to be relevant due to the level of judgement applied in its calculation. However, for the year ended 31 March 2019, there has been a change in that the London offices were vacated at the start of the year which has resulted in a provision of the release. However, just because the offices have been vacated this does not necessarily mean that the provision should have been released.</p>										

8	<p>There is a possibility that the rental payments from sub-letting the property are insufficient to cover the rental costs under the main lease agreement or there is a possibility that the sub-tenant vacates the premises after 5 years and terminates the agreement. As Solvit will still have 10 years left of the lease this will become an onerous contract again.</p> <p>Therefore, there is still great judgement involved as to the probability of the sub tenants terminating the agreement in the 5 years and the lease becoming onerous again therefore this remains a key audit risk.</p> <p>Furthermore, it seems that at the year ending 31 March 2018 the management had made a wrong judgement that the premises would remain vacant for 2 years. The offices did not actually remain vacant at all. This suggests that management's judgements may therefore not be reliable, further increasing the risk of this balance.</p>
9	
10	Additional key audit matters
	<p>1. There is an overall key audit risk highlighted by the lack of experience and competence of the new accountant which was hired in March 2018. An error was highlighted in the prior year financial statements arising from his lack of skills and therefore this increases the overall control risk of this audit.</p> <p>2. Allowance for receivables: There is a risk that the allowance for receivables in the year ending 31 March 2019 is understated. Although this is the same as in the prior year, there seems to have been a change in receivable days from 45 days to 75 days which either suggests a change in terms with new clients or just because new clients are late to pay. As a result of this change, the historic level of provisions might not be sufficient and a good basis for determining current year provisions. This is also an area of judgement and is subject to manipulation which further increases the audit risk.</p> <p>3. Management override of controls and bonus:</p>
11	<p>There is an increase in incentive to report improved figures in the financial statements in order to achieve the maximum bonus. Although this was not a key risk in the prior year audit it is considered a key risk this year due to the fact that the company has not improved as good as expected, due to the fall in revenues to the education sector. As a result incentives will lead to increased audit risk.</p> <p>4. Sale and leaseback of property This is a key audit risk again due to the complexity of the transactions which leads to an increased risk that they have not been treated accordingly in the financial statements.</p>

14	<p>Revenue.</p> <p>The relevant financial reporting standard is IFRS 15 - Revenue from contracts with customers. Under this standard, the separate performance obligations within the contract need to be identified and must be accounted for separately. In this case, the separate obligations are the installation of the software, the customisation services, the integration services, maintenance services etc.</p> <p>Under IFRS 15 these performance obligations should be separated and a price must be determined for each obligation which reflects the time value of money and the estimated future consideration which is highly probable. In the case of the education market, where there are large discounts given on the agreements the price must be reduced by these discounts. In deciding the transaction prices, the stand alone prices of customisation and integration services should be considered.</p> <p>Then the transaction price should be allocated to the performance obligations and revenue should be recognised as a performance obligation is satisfied. If it relates to services, then the revenue must be recognised over the period the services are recognised, it might be practical to do this on a month by month basis.</p>
15	<p>Audit objectives and audit procedures in respect of revenue: The audit objective is to ensure completeness, cut-off and accuracy of the revenue balance</p> <p>1. Obtain a sample of revenue contracts (sample calculated using an appropriate sampling tool) and review the information in the contracts. Perform a recalculation of revenue to be recognised in the year ending 31 March 2019 by reviewing the separate obligations and compare it to the revenue recognised by the client</p> <p>2. Review the transaction prices allocated to each performance obligation and ascertain whether they are appropriate. This should include a comparison of the transaction prices to the stand alone prices for the services and explanations obtained for differences</p> <p>3. Ensure the cut-off of revenue by reviewing invoices raised before and after the year end and ensuring they have been recorded in the correct period, based on the contract completion.</p>
16	

Strong verb and justifies audit procedure

Uses 'review - but has a justification of what the review intends to achieve

Specifically uses the scenario and relates to the standard

17	Onerous lease contract
	<p>Under IAS 37 - Provisions and Contingent liabilities, a provision must be recognised when a contract becomes onerous. A contract becomes onerous when the future benefits arising from the contract are less than the costs. The onerous provision should be provided for is equal to the unavoidable costs from the contract which is the lower of the costs of fulfilling the contract and penalties from failure to fulfill.</p> <p>In this case, there does not seem to be an option to cancel the contract therefore the provision should be equal to the costs of fulfilling the contract. Currently, the building has been subletted and based on the information provided the rental received covers the costs of the contract therefore no losses arise under the contract. However, there is a possibility of termination of that contract in 5 years which would mean that the lease would become onerous and the company would need to recognise the present future of future rental costs, unless it can sublet the property again.</p> <p>The probability of the sub let being terminated therefore needs to be considered.</p>
18	
19	<p>Audit procedures:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Obtain the sub letting agreement and the original lease agreement and review the terms. Ensure that the rent received from the sub letting agreement is enough to cover the contract costs of the initial lease 2. Ascertain the possibility of the sub lessee terminating the lease. Obtain correspondence and perhaps hold a discussion with the sub lessees discussing their future plans 3. Recalculate the provision if there is a probability of more than 50% that the sub lessee will terminate the lease and recognise the costs
20	
21	Sale and leaseback
	<p>Under IAS 17, the treatment of a sale and leaseback transaction depends on whether the new agreement is an operating lease or a finance lease. Looking at the information provided the lease seems to be an operating lease because:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The lease term is for 10 years which is much lower than the remaining UEL of 20 years for the office property 2. The present value of the minimum lease payments (6million without any discounting) are much lower than the fair value of the lease of 15million
22	<p>As this is an operating lease, the office property must be derecognised from the financial statements and a gain on the disposal must be recognised. As the property has been sold for more than its fair value the gain on the disposal must be recognised as follows: 1</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Difference between proceeds and fair value i.e. 3million will be recognised in deferred income and the profit will be deferred over the life of the lease and released to the P&L 2. Difference between the fair value and the carrying amount of 4 million must be recognised immediately in the statement of profit or loss.
23	<p>Audit procedures:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Obtain the new agreement and ensure the terms agree to the information provided by the client 2. Review the sale agreement for the property and agree the proceeds to the agreement and the bank statement 3. Review the fair valuation of the property and consider the independence and competence of the valuer.
24	
25	Management override: It is important that the incentive schemes are used
26	3)
27	<p>Under IFRS 16, there is no longer a distinction between an operating lease and a finance lease therefore the treatment of the sale and leaseback would be different.</p> <p>To be a sale the transaction would need to meet the criteria in IFRS 15 - Revenue from contracts and if it does the asset sold is derecognised and a right of use asset is recognised in the financial statements together with a liability for the right of use retained and a gain or loss in relation to the rights transferred.</p> <p>For the operating leases on cars and equipments, the initial recognition would be to recognise a right of use asset and a liability measured at the present value of the lease payments over the lease term discounted using the implicit rate of the lease. Then the asset would need to be depreciated over the lease life and the liability would be paid off using the actuarial method and lease payments would reduce the lease liability if paid.</p>

